

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

10 ROBERT WARD GARRISON,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF
14 CORRECTIONS, *et al.*,
15 Defendants.

Case No. C05-5837 FDB/KLS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME TO RESPOND TO
DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO
DISCOVERY REQUESTS

16 Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion, in which Plaintiff seeks additional time to respond to
17 Defendants' objections to his discovery requests. (Dkt. # 76). Plaintiff's motion is unnecessary.
18 There is no timeline or authority for lodging responses to objections to discovery requests. In
19 addition, prior to considering any discovery motions, this Court requires that the parties first engage
20 in a good faith attempt to resolve their disputes in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 (2)(b). The
21 motion must include a certification stating that the parties have done so. *Id.*

22 Plaintiff filed his motion on May 18, 2007. Defense counsel states that he has not contacted
23 her to discuss these discovery issues. (Dkt. # 78, Exh. 1). For this reason alone, Plaintiff's motion
24 should be denied.

25
26 ORDER - 1

1 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Dkt. # 76) is **DENIED**.

2 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

3

4 DATED this 28th day of June, 2007.

5

6



7 Karen L. Strombom
8 United States Magistrate Judge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER - 2